

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/921,645	MEADE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637	

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

69

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Worrall agreed to an Examiner's Amendment correcting claim 69.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

9/12/05

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/921,645	Applicant(s) MEADE ET AL.	
	Examiner Teresa E. Strzelecka	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(3) _____.

 (2) Timothy Worrall.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

69

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka 9/7/05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Worrall was contacted because the allowed claim 69 was found to be improperly dependent from claim 69 in that it contained limitations referring to a chemical structure of a linker, which was not present in the independent claim 51 from which claim 69 depends. Previously pending claim 29 did contain the linker structure, and the examiner proposed to insert this structure into claim 69. Mr. Worrall responded that he had to consult with the Applicants..